

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 15 2006

PATTON BOGGS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW1660 Lincoln Street
Suite 1900
Denver CO 80264
(303) 830-1776

Facsimile (303) 894-9239

To: Examiner U. Raman
Company: United States Patent & Trademark Office
Fax Number: 571-273-8300
Phone Number:

Total Pages
Including Cover: 2

From: James M. Graziano
Sender's Direct Line: (303) 894-6113
Date: May 15, 2006
Client Number: 013436.0235PTUS

Comments:

SEE ATTACHED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY - 1 page

WASHINGTON DC
NORTHERN VIRGINIA
DALLAS
DENVER
ANCHORAGE
DOHA, QATAR

Confidentiality Note: The documents accompanying this facsimile contain information from the law firm of Patton Boggs LLP which is confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile is strictly prohibited, and that the documents should be returned to this Firm immediately. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the original documents to us at no cost to you.

If you did not receive all of the pages or find that they are illegible, please call (303) 894-6113.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 15 2006

Docket No.: 013436.0235PTUS
(Bortolini 6-7-1)
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Edward J. Bortolini et al.

Application No.: 09/766736

Confirmation No.: 1298

Filed: January 22, 2001

Art Unit: 2617

For: DISTRIBUTED BROADBAND CABLE
MODEM TERMINATION SYSTEM

Examiner: U. Raman

INTERVIEW SUMMARYMS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated December 19, 2005, the undersigned conducted a telephone conference on 29 March 2006 with Examiner Raman. The Examiner discussed the present claims and the pending rejection, explaining the ambiguity of the claims in prosecution. There was no specific agreement reached, but the Examiner did suggest that a more appropriate claim was the data conversion in two different locations (levels) of the broadband network as well as a recitation of the specific data conversions that occur exclusively at these two locations.

Applicants believe no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-1848, under Order No. 013436.0235PTUS from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Respectfully submitted,
PATTON BOGGS LLPDated: 15 MAY 2006By: James M. Graziano
James M. Graziano
Registration No.: 28,300
(303) 830-1776
(303) 894-9239 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicants

Customer No. 24283

Page 1 of 1